



# Advanced Modal Logic XVIII

---

Yanjing Wang

Department of Philosophy, Peking University

April 25th, 2024

Advanced Modal Logic (2024 Spring)

Frame definability

# Frame definability

---

# Frame definability: finite transitive frame

## Definition (Jankov-Fine formulas)

Given a finite transitive frame  $\mathcal{F}$  we enumerate the states of  $\mathcal{F}$  as  $w_0, \dots, w_n$  and associate each state with a distinct proposition letter  $p_i$ . The Jankov-Fine formula  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}, w_i}$  for  $\mathcal{F}, w_i$  is the conjunction of the following formulas:

- $p_i$
- $\bigoplus_{\{0 \leq i \leq n\}} p_i \wedge \Box \bigoplus_{\{0 \leq i \leq n\}} p_i$  (where  $\bigoplus$  is the exclusive OR)
- $\bigwedge_i (p_i \rightarrow \bigwedge_j \{\Diamond p_j \mid w_i \rightarrow w_j\}) \wedge \Box \bigwedge_i (p_i \rightarrow \bigwedge_j \{\Diamond p_j \mid w_i \rightarrow w_j\})$
- $\bigwedge_i (p_i \rightarrow \bigwedge_j \{\neg \Diamond p_j \mid w_i \not\rightarrow w_j\}) \wedge \Box \bigwedge_i (p_i \rightarrow \bigwedge_j \{\neg \Diamond p_j \mid w_i \not\rightarrow w_j\})$

$\varphi_{\mathcal{F}, w_i}$  “describes” the pointed frame  $\mathcal{F}, w_i$ .

## Proposition

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite transitive point-generated frame (say from  $w$ ). Then for any transitive frame  $\mathcal{F}'$ :  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F},w}$  is satisfiable at  $w'$  in  $\mathcal{F}'$  if and only if there exists a surjective bounded morphism from  $w'$ -generated subframe of  $\mathcal{F}'$  to  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

# Frame definability: Finite transitive frame

## Proof.

$\Rightarrow$ : if  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F},w}$  is satisfiable at  $\mathcal{F}', V', w'$  for some  $V'$  and  $w'$ , then let  $W'$  be the set of states of the  $w'$ -generated subframe of  $F'$  and let  $f: W' \rightarrow W$  be defined as  $f(v') = v$  such that  $V'(v') = \{p_j \mid v = w_j\}$  (this is a singleton set). It is not hard to show that  $f$  is a surjective bounded morphism (over frames). Note that you need both the  $\diamond$  part and  $\neg\diamond$  part in  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F},w}$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : If there is a surjective bounded morphism  $f$  from  $w'$ -generated subframe of  $\mathcal{F}'$  to  $\mathcal{F}$  then let  $V'(v') = \{p_j \mid f(v') = w_j\}$ . We can show  $\mathcal{F}', V', w'$  satisfies  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F},w}$  by preservation of modal truth under bounded morphic images (over models). □

# Frame definability: Finite transitive frame

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a class of frames. Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is definable by a set of modal formulas within the class of finite transitive frames if and only if it is closed under taking (finite) disjoint unions, generated subframes, and bounded morphic images.*

Recall the definition of relative definability: A class of frames  $\mathbb{K}$  is definable by  $\Sigma$  w.r.t. class  $\mathbb{C}$  if for any  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{C}$ :  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{K} \iff \mathcal{F} \models \Sigma$ .

We do not need ultrafilter extension here!

## Frame definability: Finite transitive frame

⇐ Suppose  $\mathbb{K}$  has the desired closure properties.

Let  $Th(\mathbb{K}) = \{\varphi \mid \mathbb{K} \models \varphi\}$ . NTS: For any finite transitive frame  $\mathcal{F}$  :  
 $\mathcal{F} \models Th(\mathbb{K}) \implies \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{K}$ . We need to link  $\mathcal{F}$  with *some* frame in  $\mathbb{K}$ . The ingredients are provided by the previous proposition. Here are two cases: (1) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $w$ -generated then clearly  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F},w}$  is satisfiable at  $\mathcal{F}, w$  thus  $\neg\varphi_{\mathcal{F},w} \notin Th(\mathbb{K})$ . Therefore there is a frame  $\mathcal{F}'$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  which satisfies  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F},w}$ , thus there is a bounded morphism from a generated subframe of  $\mathcal{F}'$  to  $\mathcal{F}$ . By the closure properties  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{K}$ . (2) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is not a pointed generated frame then all its point-generated subframes are in  $\mathbb{K}$  (since they validate  $Th(\mathbb{K})$ ). Note that there is a natural surjective bounded morphism from the disjoint union of all such point-generated subframes to  $\mathcal{F}$ . By the closure properties  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{K}$ .

□



## Frame definability: Finite transitive frame

Wait! Is  $\Rightarrow$  really trivial??

### Theorem (problematic in the earlier version of the textbook)

*Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a class of frames. Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is definable by a set of modal formulas within the class of finite transitive frames if and only if it is closed under taking (finite) disjoint unions, generated subframes, and bounded morphic images.*

A class of frames  $\mathbb{K}$  is definable by  $\Sigma$  w.r.t. class  $\mathbb{C}$  if for any  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{C}$ :  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{K} \iff \mathcal{F} \models \Sigma$ . If  $\mathbb{K}$  does not contain any finite transitive frame, then it is relatively definable by  $\perp$ !

### Theorem (fixed version)

*Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a class of finite transitive frames. Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is definable by a set of modal formulas within the class of finite transitive frames if and only if it is closed under taking (finite) disjoint unions, generated subframes, and bounded morphic images.*

# Goldblatt-Thomason Theorem

Which first-order definable frame classes are modally definable (conditional definability)?

## Theorem (Goldblatt-Thomason Theorem)

*A first-order definable class  $\mathbb{K}$  of frames is definable by a set of modal formulas if and only if it is closed under taking bounded morphic images, generated subframes, disjoint unions and reflects ultrafilter extensions.*

(No requirements for the complement?) Proof strategy (similar to the proof of the previous theorem):

Let  $Th(\mathbb{K}) = \{\varphi \mid \mathbb{K} \models \varphi\}$ . NTS any frame  $\mathcal{F} \models Th(\mathbb{K}) \implies \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{K}$ .

We need to link  $\mathcal{F}$  with *some* frame(s) in  $\mathbb{K}$  by the frame construction methods under which  $\mathbb{K}$  is closed.

W.l.o.g we assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated from  $w$  (why?).

# Goldblatt-Thomason Theorem

Step 1: Based on  $\mathcal{F}$ , find some  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Note that we cannot finitely “describe”  $\mathcal{F}$  any more.

Let  $\Delta = \{\varphi \in \mathbf{ML}^+ \mid \mathcal{F}, V, w \models \varphi\}$  where  $\mathbf{ML}^+$  extends  $\mathbf{ML}$  by (uncountably many) new proposition letters  $p_A$  for each  $A \subseteq W_{\mathcal{F}}$  and we let  $V(p_A) = A$ . We can show that  $\Delta$  is satisfiable in  $\mathbb{K}$  based on the fact that  $\Delta$  is finitely satisfiable (why? we can rewrite each finite set using formulas in  $\mathbf{ML}$ ) in  $\mathbb{K}$  and that  $\mathbb{K}$  is closed under (frame) ultraproducts (since it is first-order definable).

Therefore there is a frame  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}, V', v$  satisfies  $\Delta$  for some  $V'$  and  $v$ . W.l.o.g we assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $v$ -generated (why?).

# Goldblatt-Thomason Theorem

Step 2: Try to link  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{G}$ . We will show that  $\mathbf{uc}(\mathcal{F})$  is a bounded morphic image of a *countably saturated* ultrapower of  $\mathcal{G}$ . The key idea is to use the fact that modally equivalent  $m$ -saturated models are bisimilar to each other. Then we transfer the bisimulation at the model level to the bounded morphism on the frame level.

We first prove a handy claim:

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathbf{ML}^+ : \mathcal{F}, V \vDash \varphi \iff \prod_U(\mathcal{G}, V') \vDash \varphi$$

$$\mathcal{F}, V \vDash \varphi \iff \mathcal{F}, V, w \vDash \{\Box^n \varphi \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$\iff \mathcal{G}, V', v \vDash \{\Box^n \varphi \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \iff \mathcal{G}, V' \vDash \varphi \iff \prod_U(\mathcal{G}, V') \vDash \varphi$$

(think about the Łoś theorem for  $\mathbf{ML}$ )

# Goldblatt-Thomason Theorem

Now we define the morphism  $f: W_{\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, V')} \rightarrow W_{uc(\mathcal{F}, V)}$  by letting  $f(s) = \{A \mid \prod_U(\mathcal{G}, V'), s \models p_A\}$ . Namely,  $f(s) = u$  iff  $u = \{A \mid \prod_U(\mathcal{G}, V'), s \models p_A\}$ .

We NTS: (1)  $f(s)$  is indeed an ultrafilter. (2)  $f$  is a bounded morphism (3)  $f$  is surjective.

(1) is easy (intuitively, an ultrafilter is a maximal consistent set of all the potential Boolean formulas). To prove it formally we do need the previous claim. For example, if  $A \subseteq B$  then  $p_A \rightarrow p_B$  is valid in  $\mathcal{F}, V$  thus  $\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, V') \models p_A \rightarrow p_B$ . Therefore if  $A \in f(s)$  then  $B \in f(s)$ .

# Goldblatt-Thomason Theorem

For (2), we first prove that

$$\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, V'), s \equiv_{\text{ML}^+} \text{uc}(\mathcal{F}, V), u \iff f(s) = u$$

$\Rightarrow$ : Suppose  $s \equiv_{\text{ML}^+} u$ . It is clear that for any  $p_A$ :

$$s \vDash p_A \iff u \vDash p_A \stackrel{\text{property of uc}}{\iff} V(p_A) \in u \iff A \in u \text{ therefore by definition of } f \text{ we have } f(s) = u.$$

$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose  $f(s) = u$ . For any  $\varphi \in \text{ML}^+$ :

$$u \vDash \varphi \iff V(\varphi) \in u \stackrel{f(s)=u}{\iff} s \vDash p_{V(\varphi)} \stackrel{\mathcal{F}, V \vDash p_{V(\varphi)} \leftrightarrow \varphi}{\iff} s \vDash \varphi.$$

Recall that modally equivalence coincides with bisimulation w.r.t m-saturated models. Therefore  $f$  essentially denotes a bisimulation between  $\text{uc}(\mathcal{F}, V)$  and  $\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, V')$ : we can define a bisimulation relation  $Z$  as  $(s, u) \in Z \iff f(s) = u$ . Clearly  $f$  is a bounded morphism at the frame level from  $\prod_U \mathcal{G}$  to  $\text{uc}(\mathcal{F})$ .

# Goldblatt-Thomason Theorem

For (3), we NTS that for any  $u$  there is an  $s$  such that  $f(s) = u$ , namely, for any  $u$  there is an  $s$  such that  $s \models \{p_A \mid A \in u\}$ . Note that  $\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V}')$  is countably saturated, thus if a set of formulae is finitely satisfiable in  $\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V}')$  then it is satisfiable in  $\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V}')$ . Now we only need to show that  $\{p_A \mid A \in u\}$  is finitely satisfiable in the ultrapower. Since  $u$  is an ultrafilter then  $u$  has the finite intersection property. It means that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V} \models (p_{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{A_n}) \leftrightarrow p_B$  for some  $B \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V}') \models (p_{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{A_n}) \leftrightarrow p_B$ . We only NTS  $p_B$  is satisfiable in  $\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V}')$ . First note that  $p_B$  is clearly satisfiable in  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}$  which is assumed to be  $w$ -generated. Therefore  $\diamond^n p_B$  holds at  $w$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V}', v$  satisfies  $\diamond^n p_B$ . Then  $p_B$  is clearly satisfiable in  $\prod_U(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V}')$ .

You can also do without the  $p_A$ .

## Theorem (Goldblatt-Thomason Theorem)

*A first-order definable class  $\mathbb{K}$  of frames is definable by a set of modal formulas if and only if it is closed under taking bounded morphic images, generated subframes, disjoint unions and reflects ultrafilter extensions.*

Is there a direct, more modal characterization?

Adding closure of ultraproduct or ultrafilter union does not work.



# Summary

Important concepts: local/global frame definability, relative definability, monadic second-order logic, standard translation to MSO, local/global first-order correspondent, frame construction methods: disjoint union, generated subframe, bounded morphic image, ultrafilter extension, positive/negative occurrence, uniform formula, positive/negative formulas, upward/downward monotonicity, Sahlqvist implication, Sahlqvist formula, McKinsey Formula, restricted quantifier, inherent universality, Kracht formula, Jankov-Fine formula, finite transitive frames

Important results: Sahlqvist theorem, Kracht theorem, Chagrova's theorem, Goldblatt-Thomason theorem